## WG3 2nd Meeting Minutes - 2023-09-08

The second WG meeting was mainly devoted to the two tasks/deliverables outlined in the MoU, documentation of multilingual language tools and multilingual evaluation campaigns. In addition, we discussed ways of working in the WG.

## **Documentation of Multilingual Language Technology Tools**

The morning session on documentation of multilingual tools was led by the task leaders A. Seza Doğruöz, Kristina Kocijan and Teresa Lynn and featured the following presentations:

- Introduction to European Language Grid (ELG) (Teresa) (slides)
- Survey on preferences concerning documentation platforms (Kristina) (slides)
- Overview of ELG (invited presentation by Maria Giagkou) (slides)
- Exploration of data in ELG (Kristina) (slides)
- Other platforms and future directions (Seza) (slides)

There was a lively discussion covering many topics but focusing on the choice of documentation platform and the scope of the documentation task. Here is a summary of the main points and some of the issues raised in relation to them:

- It makes sense to use on an existing platform for several reasons.
  - We don't have the resources to develop our own platform (or maintain it after the end of the action).
  - We don't need to start from scratch because many tools will already be documented.
- Of the available platforms, ELG seems to be the best fit for what we want to do, although all platforms have pros and cons. For example:
  - ELG harvests information from other platforms and sources, but it does not provide direct access to tools and resources in the same way that CLARIN does.
  - It is not clear how ELG will be maintained in the future.
- We need to define (and possibly narrow) the scope of our documentation task along several dimensions, including the following:
  - What is meant by multilinguality?
    - Do we include only strictly multilingual tools, or do we want to document monolingual tools for many languages?
  - What languages do we include?
    - ELG focuses on languages in Europe, but can easily be extended.
    - In practice, we may be limited by the expertise available within the action.
    - How do we deal with dialects and language variants?
  - How do we take users into account?
  - Do we restrict ourselves to tools or do we also include (other) resources?
  - Should we try to integrate typology resources? How?

## **Multilingual Evaluation Campaigns**

The afternoon session was organized by the WG3 leaders and featured short invited presentations from WG members who had submitted ideas for evaluation campaigns:

21:07

- D. Zeman: UD Shared Task Inside Out (abstract)
- A. Wróblewska: NLPre Benchmarking Platform (abstract)
- O. Goldman, L. Weissweiler and R. Tsarfaty: Morpho-Syntactic Analyso-Parsing (abstract, slides)
- T. Samardzic: Subword Pooling

The proposals were discussed in breakout groups, followed by a general plenary discussion. The WG leaders will call a meeting with the proposers to discuss next steps. Anyone else who would like to be involved in this task are welcome to contact the WG leaders.

## **Ways of Working**

A short session was devoted to ...

From:

https://unidive.lisn.upsaclay.fr/ - Universality, diversity and idiosyncrasy in language technology CA21167 COST Action

Permanent link:

https://unidive.lisn.upsaclay.fr/doku.php?id=wg3:wg3\_meeting\_2023-09-08&rev=1695236832

Last update: 2023/09/20 21:07

